

1 JOHN M. NEUKOM (SBN 275887)  
ANDREW M. HOLMES (SBN 260475)  
2 WILLIAM O. COOPER (SBN 279385)  
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP  
3 50 California Street, 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111-4788  
4 Telephone: (415) 875-6600  
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700  
5 johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com  
drewholmes@quinnemanuel.com  
6 willcooper@quinnemanuel.com

7 Attorneys for Defendant  
FORTINET, INC.

8  
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

12 NETWORK PROTECTION SCIENCES,  
13 LLC,

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 FORTINET, INC.,

17 Defendant.

NO. 3:12-CV-01106-WHA

**DECLARATION OF WILLIAM O.  
COOPER IN SUPPORT OF NETWORK  
PROTECTION SCIENCES, LLC'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR A  
SEALING ORDER RE: DOCUMENTS IN  
SUPPORT OF NETWORK PROTECTION  
SCIENCES LLC'S TRIAL BRIEF  
(DOCKET NO. 311)**

Judge: Honorable William H. Alsup  
Pretrial Conf. Date: September 24, 2013  
Time: 8:00 a.m.  
Trial Date: September 30, 2013  
Time: 7:30 a.m.

1 I, William O. Cooper, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California and am  
3 admitted to practice before this Court. I am an associate with the law firm Quinn Emanuel  
4 Urquhart & Sullivan LLP, counsel for the Defendant, Fortinet, Inc. ("Fortinet").

5 2. I submit this declaration to support Network Protection Sciences, LLC's  
6 (NPS's) Administrative Motion for a Sealing Order Re: Documents in Support of NPS's Trial  
7 Brief, entered at Docket No. 311 on Sept. 18, 2013.

8 3. I make this declaration from my direct, personal knowledge, including  
9 knowledge gained during my representation of Fortinet in this case.

10 4. In support of its administrative motion, NPS seeks to file under seal the  
11 following Exhibits:

12 5. **EXHIBIT 4A** to the Declaration of Jill F. Kopeikin in Support of Network  
13 Protection Sciences, LLC's Trial Brief (the "Kopeikin Decl."), which is a true and accurate copy  
14 of Exhibit 15(d) to the Expert Report of William R. Cheswick, dated July 3, 2013;

15 6. **EXHIBIT 4B** to the Declaration of Jill F. Kopeikin in Support of Network  
16 Protection Sciences, LLC's Trial Brief (the "Kopeikin Decl."), which is a true and accurate copy  
17 of Exhibit 15(b) to the Expert Report of William R. Cheswick, dated July 3, 2013;

18 7. **EXHIBIT 4C** to the Declaration of Jill F. Kopeikin in Support of Network  
19 Protection Sciences, LLC's Trial Brief (the "Kopeikin Decl."), which is a true and accurate copy  
20 of selected portions of the Expert Report of William R. Cheswick, dated July 3, 2013, namely ¶¶  
21 248, 251-252, 273-274, 276, 312, and 317;

22 8. **EXHIBIT 8** to the Kopeikin Decl., which is a true and accurate copy of the  
23 Transcript of deposition testimony of David Pensak, dated June 20, 2013;

24 9. **EXHIBIT 9** to the Kopeikin Decl., which is a true and accurate copy of the  
25 Transcript of deposition testimony of Phil Trubey, dated June 13, 2013;

26 10. **EXHIBIT 10** to the Kopeikin Decl., which is a true and accurate copy of  
27 Fortinet's Counsel's Engagement letter to Phil Trubey, dated June 5, 2013, and bearing the  
28 following bates number: FORTNPS192378;

1           11.     **EXHIBIT 20** to the Kopeikin Decl., which is a true and accurate copy of  
2 the Transcript of the deposition testimony of Todd Nelson, taken on June 26, 2013;

3           12.     **EXHIBIT 33** to the Kopeikin Decl., which is a true and accurate copy of  
4 the Transcript of deposition testimony of Gregory Cuke, dated June 7, 2013;

5           13.     **EXHIBIT 34** to the Kopeikin Decl., which is a true and accurate copy of  
6 the Transcript of deposition testimony of Rakesh Ramde, dated June 11, 2013;

7           14.     **EXHIBIT 41** to the Kopeikin Decl., which is a true and accurate copy of  
8 the Transcript of deposition testimony of Christian Hicks, dated August 5, 2013.

9           15.     NPS submitted the above Exhibits under seal as attachments to its Trial  
10 Brief, entered at Docket Number 305, on September 17, 2013. In light of the Court's recent  
11 rulings regarding the sealing of documents in this case, Fortinet offers, as attachments to this  
12 Declaration, narrowly redacted versions of **Exhibits 4A, 4C, 8, 9, 10, 20, and 41** to the Kopeikin  
13 Decl. (collectively, "Proposed Sealed Exhibits"). Fortinet does not request that Exhibit 4B to the  
14 Kopeikin Decl. be filed under seal.

15           16.     The Proposed Sealed Exhibits contain information that is highly sensitive  
16 and confidential and that, if publicized, would result in substantial competitive harm to Fortinet.  
17 All of this information has been designated as "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" in  
18 accordance with the Protective Order entered in this action.

19           17.     The Proposed Sealed Exhibits also contain documents relating to Fortinet's  
20 highly confidential, proprietary source code, configuration files, and analysis of that source code  
21 by Fortinet's and NPS's experts. Pursuant to the protective order entered in this case, this highly  
22 confidential testimony has been designated as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –ATTORNEYS'  
23 EYES ONLY." Fortinet carefully guards its source code and restricts access to it—and  
24 conversations about it—at all times. Further, Fortinet's source code is some of the most sensitive  
25 information that Fortinet has, and any public disclosure of conversations about it would harm its  
26 business.

27           18.     The Proposed Sealed Exhibits also contain documents regarding non-public  
28 highly confidential Fortinet technical documents disclosing proprietary concepts contained in the

1 products accused of infringement in this case. This testimony relates to documents from internal  
2 Fortinet technical document repositories that are maintained by Fortinet's internal engineering  
3 team—they are not public or even readily accessible within Fortinet. Pursuant to the protective  
4 order entered in this case, this testimony has been designated as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –  
5 ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." Any disclosure of this non-public technical information would  
6 put Fortinet at a competitive disadvantage.

7           19. The Proposed Sealed Exhibits also contain documents relating to non-public  
8 business information, including language from non-public, highly confidential settlement,  
9 licensing, or related technology transaction agreements between Fortinet and third parties.  
10 Pursuant to the protective order entered in this case, this information has been designated as  
11 "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." Any disclosure of this sensitive  
12 non-public information would put Fortinet at a competitive disadvantage in future business  
13 negotiations and licensing deals.

14           20. Fortinet is extremely concerned that its competitors can make use of the  
15 non-public, proprietary information contained in the Proposed Sealed Motion and Proposed Sealed  
16 exhibits, and therefore respectfully proposes to make limited redactions to the Proposed Sealed  
17 Exhibits.

18           21. Two of the Proposed Sealed Exhibits, namely Exhibits 4A and 4C to the  
19 Kopeikin Decl., also contain information that is based on prior art Janus source code, which  
20 Fortinet understands via prior counsel was produced as "highly confidential" pursuant to requests  
21 from those that provided the source code. Thus, Fortinet moves to seal portions of those  
22 documents in order to maintain their designation as highly confidential.

23           22. Exhibits 33 and 34 to the Kopeikin Decl. were not designated confidential  
24 by Fortinet, and thus Fortinet takes no position as to whether those exhibits should be kept  
25 confidential.

26           23. NPS also filed a redacted version of its Trial Brief, entered at Docket No.  
27 312, on September 18, 2013, redacting quoted portions of the above listed Proposed Sealed  
28

1 Exhibits. For the same reasons as described above, Fortinet moves to seal those portions of NPS's  
2 Trial Brief in order to maintain their designation as confidential.

3           24. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California  
4 that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed in San Francisco,  
5 California, on September 24, 2013.

6  
7 DATED: September 24, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

8 By /s/ William O. Cooper

9 William O. Cooper  
10 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &  
11 SULLIVAN, LLP  
12 50 California Street, 22nd Floor  
13 San Francisco, CA 94111  
14 Tel: 415-875-6600  
15 Fax: 415-875-6700  
16 willcooper@quinnemanuel.com

17  
18 Attorneys for Defendant FORTINET, INC.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing to be served by electronic mail upon the following:

MICHAEL CUKOR  
GIBBONS P.C.  
One Pennsylvania Plaza, 37th Floor  
New York, New York 10119-3701  
Tel: (212) 613-2013  
Fax: (212) 554-9658  
mcukor@gibbonslaw.com

JILL F. KOPEIKIN  
VALERIE M. WAGNER  
GCA LAW PARTNERS LLP  
2570 W. El Camino Real, Suite 510  
Mountain View, CA 94040  
Tel: (650) 428-3900  
Fax: (650) 428-3901  
jkopeikin@gcalaw.com  
vwagner@gcalaw.com

VINCENT E. MCGEARY  
GIBBONS P.C.  
One Gateway Center  
Newark, NJ 07102-5310  
Tel: (973) 596-4837  
Fax: (973) 639-6477  
vmcgeary@gibbonslaw.com

DAVID R. OWENS  
BRUNO TARABICHI  
OWENS TARABICHI LLP  
111 N. Market St., Suite 730  
San Jose, California 95113  
Tel: (408) 298-8200  
Fax: (408) 521-2203  
dowens@owenstarabichi.com  
btarabichi@owenstarabichi.com

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on September 24, 2013, at San Francisco, California.

*/s/ William O. Cooper*

William O. Cooper